



Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice

Thirty-ninth session

Warsaw, 11–16 November 2013

Agenda item 14

The 2013–2015 review

Subsidiary Body for Implementation

Thirty-ninth session

Warsaw, 11–16 November 2013

Agenda item 16

The 2013–2015 review

The 2013–2015 review

Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair

1. The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) initiated in 2013 the steps necessary to start the consideration of inputs for the review, in accordance with decisions 2/CP.17 and 1/CP.18. Those steps included the gathering and compilation of information relevant to the review, the establishment of a joint contact group, and the establishment and operationalization of a structured expert dialogue (SED) to support the work of the joint contact group.
2. The SBSTA and the SBI welcomed the start of the review and the organization of the first SED on the 2013–2015 review, held on 5 June 2013 in Bonn, Germany. They took note of the summary report on the in-session workshop held at the first SED¹ and the report of the SED of the 2013–2015 review for 2013.²
3. The SBSTA and the SBI also welcomed the second SED, held on 12 and 13 November 2013 in Warsaw, Poland. They noted the value of the SED in enabling Parties to proactively contribute to the dialogue and engage with the scientific and other experts in the SED and looked forward to the report on the second in-session meeting of the SED.
4. The SBSTA and the SBI noted with appreciation the contributions made by the representatives of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in particular through consideration of the report of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5), the Technology Executive Committee, the Climate Technology Centre and Network, the Standing Committee on Finance and the Global Environment Facility at the

¹ See <<http://unfccc.int/7521.php>>.

² FCCC/SB/2013/INF.12.

second SED, and encouraged them and other organizations to support the future work of the SED through continuing dialogue with Parties.

5. The SBSTA and the SBI recognized that the review consists of several phases³ and noted that the review is still in an early stage and that information gathering and consideration has started.

6. The SBSTA and the SBI reiterated the need to conduct the review in an effective and efficient manner in order to avoid duplication of ongoing work with a view to ensuring the scientific integrity of the review, in accordance with the relevant provisions of decisions 1/CP.16, 1/CP.17, 2/CP.17 and 1/CP.18.

7. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the co-facilitators of the SED, with support from the secretariat, to organize meetings of the SED in 2014 in conjunction with the fortieth (June 2014) and forty-first (December 2014) sessions of the subsidiary bodies. They also requested the co-facilitators to assess the need for additional meetings.

8. The SBSTA and the SBI agreed to continue to consider the AR5 reports as they become available, other inputs as listed in decision 2/CP.17, paragraph 161, questions put forward by Parties to ensure a balanced consideration of these inputs, and the views of Parties on both themes of the 2013–2015 review, in accordance with decision 1/CP.18, paragraph 88.

9. The SBSTA and the SBI invited Parties to submit to the secretariat, by 26 March, 2014, their views on the future work of the SED, including the further use of different sources of information, and requested the secretariat to compile these submissions into a miscellaneous document.

10. The SBSTA and the SBI also invited Parties to submit to the secretariat, by 26 March, 2014, their views on how the outcomes of the review will inform the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action, as requested by decision 1/CP.17, paragraph 6, and requested the secretariat to compile these submissions into a miscellaneous document.

11. The SBSTA and the SBI took note of the estimated budgetary implications of the activities to be undertaken by the secretariat as referred to in paragraph 7 above.

12. The SBSTA and the SBI requested that the actions of the secretariat called for in these conclusions be undertaken subject to the availability of financial resources.

³ Decision 2/CP.17, paragraph 164.